EXHIBIT F

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

In the Matter

of

Case No.

1-08-01789

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION

V.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, et al.,

Debtors.

-----x

February 18, 2010
United States Custom House
One Bowling Green
New York, New York 10004

Motion for an Entry of Order Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rules 2002 and 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure Approving an Agreement by and Among the Trustee and Jeanne Levy-Church and Francis N. Levy, et al.

B E F O R E:

HON. BURTON R. LIFLAND,

U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

| | | 2 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | BAKER HOSTETLER, LLP | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee | |
| 6 | 45 Rockefeller Plaza | |
| 7 | New York, New York 10017 | |
| 8 | BY: MARC E. HIRSCHFIELD, ESQ. | |
| 9 | -and- | |
| 10 | PAUL EYRE, ESQ. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

3 1 Proceedings 2 THE COURT: Securities Investor Protection 3 vs. Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities. 4 Is that based on the calendar or caption, The Bankruptcy Link? 5 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Calendar. 6 7 THE COURT: So we ought to recaption it then. 8 9 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Good morning, Your 10 Honor. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. HIRSCHFIELD: I am Marc Hirschfield, 12 13 from the law firm of Baker Hostetler, on behalf of the With me today is my colleague, Paul Eyre, who 14 15 helped work on the settlement. 16 Before the Court today is the motion under Bankruptcy Rule 9019 seeking the Court's approval an 17 18 agreement with Jeanne Levy-Church and Francis Levy, adult 19 children of Betty and Norman Levy drew up an agreement the Levys will return to the Trustee 220 million dollars. 2.0 21 By way of background, Your Honor, Norman 2.2 Levy was a real estate executive here in New York. Не 23 began investing with Madoff in the mid-1970s. 24 Over the years, Mr. Levy opened up a number 25 of accounts with BLMIS for himself, for his wife, and for

4 1 various members of his family including his children and the family and other charitable trusts. Mr. Levy passed 2 3 away in 2005, and throughout his life he placed great trust in Mr. Madoff. 4 In his will, he appointed Madoff as one of 5 his executors and that granted Madoff the authority to make 6 7 unilateral decisions with regard to nonreal estate assets of his estate. 8 9 Mr. Madoff unfortunately took advantage of that trust and breached it, and after Mr. Levy's death 10 11 transferred 220 million dollars of non-Madoff assets. 12 THE COURT: As an executor --MR. HIRSCHFIELD: 13 Yes. 14 THE COURT: -- of the Levy estate? 15 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Yes. He transferred it to 16 BLMIS and, of course, that money was lost along with all the other money. 17 18 THE COURT: This is a bit of lack of 19 integrity we have not seen before. 20 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: I think there is no floor to Mr. Madoff's integrity. 21 22 So, essentially, Your Honor, Madoff stole 23 the 220 million dollars from Mr. Levy's heirs. 24 this 220 million dollars over the years the Levy account 25 holders took out more money from Madoff than they put in.

2.2

Therefore, Your Honor, under our parlance they are not winners. Last spring Jeanne and Francis

Levy, the two heirs of Mr. Norman Levy approached us through their counsel to begin to discuss their potential liability. We did not contact them. They contacted us.

From the very beginning, Your Honor, they made it very clear to us they want to do the right thing. They felt badly about having other people's money and they wanted to return to the Trustee the profits they received, which was really other people's money.

After discussing with them various things and learning about them and their finances and their assets and their liabilities, the Trustee made a demand of them of 220 million dollars, which at that time was the six-year number of the money that they took out.

million dollars another 84 million of false profits was withdrawn by the Betty and Norman Levy Foundation, which was a charitable trust which Mr. Levy and his wife had set up. They told us, the Levis, and they gave us evidence to corroborate all of this 84 million dollars which was donated to charitable causes and the foundation has virtually nothing left to which to return anything to the Trustee.

Based upon this, the trustee in his

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

6 business judgment made the decision not to seek any recovery from the trust. Again, to do so, from the foundation rather, to do so would have been futile because as I said they had nothing to give us. So, therefore, trying to commence a lawsuit against the foundation would have made no sense. The Trustee does believe that the other money withdrawn by the Levys is recoverable under Section 544, 548 and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code. When the Levy executed liability to the trust they agreed to pay us the amount we requested, 220 million dollars. That agreement is set forth in a settlement agreement attached to the motion. I will just highlight a few of the terms of the agreement for the Court's reference. Under the agreement, as mentioned the Levys will pay us at closing which will happen very shortly after an order approving the settlement has become final some 220 million dollars in one payment. The Trustee and the Levis will exchange a release and the Trustee will agree not to sue certain entities that are related to the Levis. The Levis have agreed, respectively, they

will assist us when asked in our effort to recover other money from other people and, finally, there are two

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

2.2

children of Francis Levy, who unlike the other Levy members, those accounts that they had with Madoff were not losers.

They submitted claims before the bar date and those two proofs of claim will be redeemed withdrawn as part of the settlement. So there will be no recovery at all on those two claims.

We believe the settlement is a very good one and we ask for the Court's approval. In connection with our motion, the Trustee submitted an affidavit to the Court in which he stated that he believes the settlement is appropriate in his business judgment and that the business agreement falls well above the lowest point of reasonableness.

While we believe we would have prevailed if we had to sue the Levis, we don't believe we have collected anything more than just getting this settlement. So, therefore, we think it makes sense to have the settlement agreement.

Your Honor, I just have one additional thing to add, as we set forth in our motion and the public statements, the Trustee very much appreciates the manner in which the Levys conducted themselves throughout these discussions.

As I said earlier, the discussions were, in

2.2

fact, initiated by the Levis. There were a lot of people in this case who withdrew false profits and there are some who are fighting us virtually tooth and nail not to return the money, and others like the Levys and Optimal which we previously settled came forward to voluntarily return the money.

The Trustee, the Levis should serve as an example to others in this case in a situation similar to the Levys, and should come forward and like the Levys return to us the amounts they've withdrawn.

In conclusion, Your Honor, we very much think the settlement is a satisfactory one and we ask for Your Honor's approval.

THE COURT: Does anyone else want to be heard?

Well, I am going to approve the settlement, based upon the papers before me and representations here.

It is clear that the Trustee has done an appropriate level of due diligence in recommending the settlement. Especially with respect to the concept of abandoning certain actions based upon the difficulties associated with the collection, that is with respect to the foundation.

The settlement does resolve the spectre of an expensive and protracted litigation, and it allows the

9 parties to go forward with a degree of comfort that there 1 2 are lawyers who are now out of the horizon to a certain 3 extent and certainly, the way the settlement has come about and the amount, although interests could have demanded or 4 5 based upon information received, subsequent to the first offer, it is clear that this settlement is well above the 6 7 lowest rung in a range of reasonableness and is right on target in that regard and I will entertain an order 8 9 approving it. Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: 11 THE COURT: I have approved the order. 12 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Yes. Thank you, again, 13 Your Honor. That would be all we have on the calendar 14 15 for today. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
10
1
 2
                         CERTIFICATE
 3
                              }
      STATE OF NEW YORK
                               }
                                   ss.:
                              }
 5
      COUNTY OF NEW YORK
 6
                          I, MINDY CORCORAN, a Shorthand Reporter
 7
      and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do
 8
      hereby certify:
9
                      That I reported the proceedings in the
10
      within entitled matter, and that the within transcript is a
11
      true record of such proceedings.
                      I further certify that I am not related, by
12
13
      blood or marriage, to any of the parties in this matter and
14
      that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this
15
      matter.
16
                      IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
17
      hand this 19th day of February, 2010.
18
19
                          MINDY CORCORAN
20
21
22
23
24
25
```